# SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JULY 27, 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S075720 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Cayetano Calderon Camacho, Defendant and Appellant. The decision of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Werdegar, J.

We Concur:

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Brown, J.

Dissenting Opinion by George, C.J.

We Concur:

Baxter, J.

Chin, J.

S077706 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

Joe Willy Hill, Defendant and Appellant.

We conclude the evidence was sufficent to support the convictions for kidnapping and carjacking both January and Marissa. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal in part and reverse it in part and remand the matter for proceedings consistent with our opinion.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J.

### S070959 People, Respondent

v.

Julius Lamar Cox, Appellant

-----

In re Julius Lamar Cox on Habeas Corpus

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including October 9, 2000, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

#### S086250 In re Jose Torres

on

Habeas Corpus

The court order filed in the above-entitled matter on July 24, 2000, is corrected to read as follows:

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to the Attorney General's informal response is extended to and including July 31, 2000.

# S088387 People, Respondent

v.

Donte Osbon Smith et al., Appellants

Upon request of appellant **Jack Loney** for appointment of counsel, Peter Leeming is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

#### S088387 People, Respondent

V.

Donte Osbon Smith et al., Appellants

Upon request of appellant **Donte Osbon Smith** for appointment of counsel, Matthew Alger is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

#### S088326 In re **John R. Livingston** on Discipline

It is ordered that John R. Livingston, State Bar No. 80324, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for one year, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed March 1, 2000; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. **John R. Livingston** is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If **John R. Livingston** is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that **John R. Livingston** take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

# S088327 In re **Jerome Edelman** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Jerome Edelman, State Bar No. 41749,** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. **Jerome Edelman** is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed April 5, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant

to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

#### S088328 In re **Allan Lee Dollison** on Discipline

It is ordered that Allan Lee Dollison, State Bar No. 177299, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until he makes restitution to Lynda Maisterra (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$837.00, plus 10% interest per annum from September 1, 1997; to Francisco and Flor Cruz (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$625.00, plus 10% interest per annum from September 24, 1997, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel; and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days and until he makes the restitution described above and provides satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit. If he is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar of California of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Allan Lee **Dollison** is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed March 31, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that if he is actually suspended for 90 days or more, he shall comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the years 2001 and 2002. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

#### S088380 In re **Stanford Ying Kit Lau** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Stanford Ying Kit Lau, State Bar No. 100994,** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

# S088381 In re **Sidney B. Hubbard** on Discipline

It is ordered that Sidney B. Hubbard, State Bar No. 87501, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 30 days and until he successfully completes Ethics School and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on March 17, 2000; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of respondent's actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S088399 In re **Charles M. Marx** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Charles M. Marx, State Bar No. 124630,** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed March 14, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S090044 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Andrew Milton Bakker**A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Andrew Milton Bakker**, **State Bar No. 92493**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)